DNA primers for amplification of mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates

0. Folmer, M. Black, W. Hoeh,* R. Lutz, and R. Vrijenhoek+

Center for Theoretical and Applied Genetics, and Institute of Marine and Coastal Science, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903-231

Abstract

We describe "universal" DNA primers for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of a 710-bp fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene *(COI)* from *11* invertebrate phyla: Echinodermata, Mollusca, Annelida, Pogonophora, Arthropoda, Nemertinea, Echiura, Sipuncula, Platyhelminthes, Tardigrada, and Coelenterata, as well as the putative phylum Vestimentifera. Preliminary comparisons revealed that these *COI* primers generate informative sequences for phylogenetic analyses at the species and higher taxonomic levels.

Introduction

The purpose of this short communication is to describe "universal" DNA primers for the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of a 710-bp fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I gene (COI). This study was motivated by the recent discoveries of more than 230 new invertebrate species, comprising new genera, families, classes, orders, and potentially a new phylum, from deep-sea hydrothermal vent and cold-water sulfide or methane seep communities (Tunnicliffe, 1991). Our goal was to develop molecular techniques for phylogenetic studies of these diverse organisms. We focused on the mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene because it appears to be among the most conservative protein-coding genes in the mitochondrial genome of animals (Brown, 1985), which was preferable for the evolutionary

+Correspondence should be sent to this author. Copyright © 1994 Blackwell Science, Inc. time depths likely to be found in our studies. We quickly became aware of the broad utility of these *COI* primers for broader systematic studies of metazoan invertebrates, including accelomates, pseudocoelomates, and coelomate protostomes and deuterostomes.

Results

To design candidate primers, we compared published DNA sequences from the following species: blue mussel, *Mytilus edulis;* fruitfly, *Drosophila yakuba;* honeybee, *Apis mellifera;* mosquito, *Anopheles gambiae;* brine shrimp, *Artemia franciscana;* nematodes, *Ascaris suum* and *Caenorhabditis elegans;* sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus;* carp, *Cyprinus carpio;* frog, *Xenopus laevis;* chicken, *Gallus gallus;* mouse, *Mus musculus; cow, Bos taurus;* fin whale, *Balaenoptera physalus;* and human, *Homo sapiens* (Figure 1). Several highly conserved regions of these *COI* genes were used as the targets for primer designs.

Altogether, three coding-strand and six anticoding-strand primers were tested (Table 1) for amplification efficiency. The following primer pair consistently amplified a 710-bp fragment of *COI* across the broadest array of invertebrates:

LCO1490: 5'-ggtcaacaaatcataaagatattgg-3'

HC02198: 5'-taaacttcagggtgaccaaaaaatca-3'

In the code names above, L and H refer to light and heavy DNA strands, CO refers to cytochrome oxidase, and the numbers (1490 and 2198) refer to the position of the *D. yakuba 5'* nucleotide.

We also present the primers as coding-strand sequences, along with their inferred amino acids (Figure 1). The usefulness of these primers results from the high degree of sequence conservation in their respective 3' ends across the 15 taxa. The 3' end of each primer is on a second-position nucleotide. All other pairwise primer combinations amplified fewer taxa or gave additional nonspecific products under less stringent amplification conditions.

The LCO1490 and HC02198 amplified DNA from more than 80 invertebrate species from 11

^{*}Present address: Department of Biology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada.

Am:	ino acid	Phe	Ser	Thr	Asn	His	Lys	Asp	Ile	Gly	*
LCO	01490	5'- gg	tca	aca	aat	cat	aaa	gat	att	gg -3'	
М.	edulis										1377
D.	yakuba	tt	t								1490
A.	mellifera	ta		c				а			274
A.	gambiae	tt	t								1440
A.	franciscana	tc	t.	c		c	g				1360
s.	purpuratus	tt	t	t		c	g	c			5807
A.	suum	a.	ag.	t.t					c		7891
с.	elegans	aa	agt	t.t			g				8817
С.	carpio	tc	t	c	c	c		c			6209
H.	sapiens	tc	t		c	c		¢		• •	5926
М.	musculus	tc		c		c			c	• •	5350
в.	taurus	tc		c	c		• • •				5709
в.	physalus	tc		c	c	¢		c	c	• •	5804
х.	laevus	tc				¢		c			7419
G.	gallus	tc		c	c	c	• • •	c		••	6670
			·								
		Tr	p Phe	e Pho	e G13	7 His	s Pro	5 Gli	ιVa.	1 Thy	*
HCO	171 4 8	5'- ta	a tti	t tti	- aa	- Ca(- (18)	a ati		
	- 4 - 1 / -				- 99.					c ca -s	
М.	edulis	3			not	pub.	lishe	ed -		L La -5	
M. D.	edulis yakuba			 	not	pub.	lishe	ed	 		2173
М. D. А.	edulis yakuba mellifera		 	 	not	pub.	lishe 	ed 		· · ·	2173 956
М. D. А. А.	edulis yakuba mellifera gambiae		 g	 	not	pub.	lishe 	ed a		 J	2173 956 2123
М, D. А. А. А.	edulis yakuba mellifera gambiae franciscana	 	 g		not	pub.	lishe 	ed			2173 956 2123 2043
M. D. A. A. S.	edulis yakuba mellifera gambiae franciscana purpuratus		 g g c.	 	not	pub.	lish t t	ed		 J J J	2173 956 2123 2043 6489
M. D. A. A. A. S. A.	edulis yakuba mellifera gambiae franciscana purpuratus suum		g g g c		not	pub:	Lishe 	ed		 J J J	2173 956 2123 2043 6489 8571
M. D. A. A. A. S. C.	edulis yakuba mellifera gambiae franciscana purpuratus suum elegans		g		not	pub	t	ed		 J J J	2173 956 2123 2043 6489 8571 9497
M. D. A. A. A. S. A. C.	edulis yakuba mellifera gambiae franciscana purpuratus suum elegans carpio	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g g g c g c	 	not	pub: t	t	ed			2173 956 2123 2043 6489 8571 9497 6892
M. D. A. A. A. S. C. H.	edulis yakuba mellifera gambiae franciscana purpuratus suum elegans carpio sapiens		g g g c g		not	pub: 	t	ed			2173 956 2123 2043 6489 8571 9497 6892 6609
M. D. A. A. S. C. H. M.	edulis yakuba mellifera gambiae franciscana purpuratus suum elegans carpio sapiens musculus		g g g c g g		not	pub: 	t	ed			2173 956 2123 2043 6489 8571 9497 6892 6609 6033
M. D. A. A. S. C. H. M. B.	edulis yakuba mellifera gambiae franciscana purpuratus suum elegans carpio sapiens musculus taurus	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g		not	pub: 	Lishe t	ed			2173 956 2123 2043 6489 8571 9497 6699 6033 6392
M. D. A. A. S. C. H. B. B.	edulis yakuba mellifera gambiae franciscana purpuratus suum elegans carpio sapiens musculus taurus physalus		g		not	pub:	t	ed			2173 956 2123 2043 6489 8571 9497 6892 6609 6033 6392 6487
M. D. A. A. A. C. H. B. X.	edulis yakuba mellifera gambiae franciscana purpuratus suum elegans carpio sapiens musculus taurus physalus laevus	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g		not	pub: 	t	ed		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2173 956 2123 2043 6489 8571 9497 6892 6609 6033 6392 6487 8128

Figure 1. Coding-strand sequences of the LCO1490 and HC02198 primers and inferred amino acid sequences. Dots represent identical nucleotides at a given position compared with Drosophila yakuba. *Position as listed in GenBank. Accession numbers and primary references for GenBank sequences are as follows: Mytilus edulis, M83761/M83762 (Hoffmann et al., 1992); Drosophila yakuba, X03240 (Clary and Wolstenholme, 1985); Apis melifera, M23409 (Crozier et al., 1989); Anopheles gambiae, L20934 (Beard et al., 1993); Artemia franciscana (J.R. Valverde, direct submission to GenBank access number X69067); Strongylocentrotus purpuratus, X12631 (Jacobs et al., 1988); Ascaris suum, X54252, and Caenorhabditis elegans, X54253 (Okimoto et al., 1990); Cyprinus carpio, X61010 (Chang and Huang, 1991); Homo sapiens, M12548 (Anderson et al., 1981); Mus musculus, V00711 (Bibb et al., 1981); Bos taurus, V00654 (Anderson et al., 1982); Balaenoptera physalus, X61145 (Arnason et al., 1991); Xenopus laevis, X02890 (Roe et al., 1985); and Gallus gallus, X52392 (Desjardins and Morais, 1990).

phyla (Table 2). The PCR products of species from five phyla (Mollusca, Annelida, Arthropoda, Vestimentifera, and Coelenterata) are illustrated in Figure 2. Except for *Hydra*, all products resulted from a single PCR amplification. The *Hydra* sample was reamplified to provide sufficient product for direct sequencing. For several species, initial amplification produced multiple PCR products. In these cases, target DNA for sequencing was obtained by raising the annealing temperature, or gel-isolating the initial 710-bp fragment and reamplifying it.

To verify that the amplified fragment is indeed *COI*, we obtained a minimum of 200 by of sequence from all species listed in Table 2 (except those marked with an asterisk). Typically, cycle-

sequencing with these primers produced a readable sequence of at least 651 bp, equivalent to 219 inferred amino acid residues. To demonstrate that the products are COI, we provide four new sequences (in reading frame) from work in progress on deepsea invertebrates (Figure 3). Comparisons of these sequences with COI from D. yakuba reveal that most variation occurs at the third-position nucleotides. Ongoing analyses of this COI fragment from a diverse array of bivalve mollusks and vestimentiferan tube worms suggest that phylogenetic resolution at the phylum and class level can be obtained from inferred amino sequences. Intermediate-level resolution (family to genus) is retained in first- and second-position nucleotides. Third-position substitutions are saturated at these higher levels, but retain informative polymorphisms within at least one bivalve species, Bathymodiolus thermophilus.

Discussion

The universal DNA primers, LCO1490 and HCO2198, amplified a 710-bp region of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit I gene from a broad range of metazoan invertebrates. We are presently using these primers to examine phylogenetic relations among the following taxa: (1) tube worms (Vestimentifera) and other protostome worms (Pogonophora and Annelida); (2) deep-sea marine bivalve mollusks (Mytilidae and Vesicomyidae); (3) freshwater bivalve mollusks (Unionidae, Dreissenidae, and Corbiculidae); (4) vent-associated

 Table
 1.
 Other COI primers tested in this study, presented relative to the coding strand of *Drosophila yakuba*.

Primer				Seq	ueno	ce				Position
LCO1606	tta	att	gga	gat	gat	caa	at			
D. yakuba	• • •	• • •	•••	• • •			••			1606
LCO1495	aca	aat	cat	aaa	gat.	att	gg			
D. yakuba				• • •	• • •	• • •	••			1495
LCO1828	gga	gct	ggt	aca	ggt	tga	ac			
D. yakuba				• • •			• •			1828
HCO1862	tga	act	gtt	tac	cct	cct	tt			
D. yakuba	• • •		• • •	t			••			1862
HCO2042	tga	tca	gta	ctt	att	aca	gc			
D. yakuba	• • •									2042
HCO2799	cca	cga	cgg	tac	ata	gat	tat	gct	g	
D. yakuba	t		t	t	tc.		c	с		2799
HCO2911	gaa	gct	tta	gtg	tgt	caa	cga	ggg	g	
D. yakuba		ag.			.c.			caa		2911
HCO2192	tga	ttt	ttt	ggt	cac	cct	ga			
D. yakuba										2192
HCO2768	caa	cat	ttt	tta	gga	tta	gc			
D. yakuba						• • •	••			2768

Phylum	Class	Order	Genus/species
Echinodermata Mollusca	Crinoidea Polyplacophora Aplacophora	Ischnochitonida	Unidentified deep-sea species* Katharina sp.
	Gastropoda	Archaeogastropoda	Lepetodrilus elevatus Astraea sp. Collicella sp.
	Bivalvia	Stylommatophora Nuculoida	Biomphalaria sp.* Yoldia scissurata Nuculoma tanuis
		Solemyoida Mytiloida	Solemya velum Bathymodiolus thermophilus
		Mytholda	7 New vent and seep spp. Mytilus edulis Modiolus modiolus
		Eulamellibrachia	Calyptogena magnifica C. pacifica C. ponderosa Vesicomya cordata
		Ostreoidia	16 + New species Hinnites multirugosus
		Unionoida	Utterbakia (6+ spp.)
		Myoida	Mya arenaria
		Veneroida	Mercenaria mercenaria
			Corbicula flumenea
			Dressera polymorpha Engia directus
		Pholadomyoida	Ensis airectus Pandora gouldiana
	Scaphonoda	Filoladomyolda	Dentalium sp
	Cephalopoda	Teuthoidea	Loligo paeleji
	Gopharopoua	Toutionada	Octopus sp.
Annelida	Polychaetes	Phyllodocida	Branchipolynoe symmytilida
	-	Terebellida	Amphisamytha galapagensis Paralvinella palmiformis
	Olizophasta	Haplatavida	Alvinella pompejana Tubifor tubifor
	Himdinea	Cnathobdellida	Hirudo medicinalus
Pogonophora	Perviata	Ghuthobuohhuu	Galatheolinum brachiosum
01			Unidentified Loihi Smnt. sp.
Vestimentifera+	Axonobranchia Basibranchia	Riftiida	Riftia pachyptila
		Tevniida	Ridgeia piscesae Tevnia jerichonana
		Lamellibranchiida	Oasisia alvinae Escarpia sp. Lamellibrachia barhami
Arthropoda	Malacostraca	Decapoda	Rimicaris exoculata Chorocaris chacei Alvinocaris markensis Bythograea thermydron Munidonsis (lentino?)
	Pycnogonida		Unidentified Californian species
Nemertinea Echiura	Anopla	Heteronemertini Xenopneusta	Cerebratulus sp. Urechis sp.

Table 2. Species representing eleven different phyla for which the LCO1490 and HC02198 primers amplified and sequenced the 710-bp mitochondrial COI fragment.

* Amplified, but not sequenced to date t Jones (1985)

Phylum	Class	Order	Genus/species		
Sipuncula	Phascolosomida	Phascolosomiformes	Phascolosoma sp.		
Platyhelminthes	Turbellaria	Polycladida	Unidentified marine species		
	Trematoda	-	Fasciola hepatica		
Tardigrada	Eutardigrada		Adorybrotus coronifer*		
Coelenterata	Hydrozoa	Anthomedusae	Hydra littoralis		

Table 2. Continued

arthropods (Caridae); and (5) parasitic platyhelminths (Trematoda). We also are investigating the utility of this COI fragment for larval identifications in several of these groups. Independent laboratories have verified the utility of the LCO1490 and HCO2198 primers for amplification and sequencing of COI from (1) oysters, genera Crassostrea (Y-P. Hu, Louisiana State University, and M. Hare, University of Georgia) and Ostrea (Diarmaid O'Foigel, University of South Carolina); (2) scallops, genus Placopecten (P. Gaffney, University of Delaware); (3) hard clams, genus Mercenaria (D. O'Foigel); (4) archaeogastropod limpets (A. MacArthur, University of Victoria); (5) arachnids (A. Tan, University of Hawaii); and (6) marine hydrozoans (S. Karl, University of South Florida).

Experimental Procedures

Whole cell DNA was extracted from either fresh tissue or tissue frozen at - 80°C immediately after collection of a specimen. We used a conventional hexadecyl-trimethyl-ammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol, modified from Doyle and Dickson (1987). Typically, 1 mm³ of tissue was extracted and the



Figure 2. Agarose gel of PCR products from seven different species of invertebrates. All PCR products except lane 7 are directly amplified from total DNA extraction. Lane L, Phi-X/HaeIII ladder. Lane 1, blue mussel, *Mytilus edulis*. Lane 2, squid, *Loligo pealeii*. Lane 3, polychaete *Paralvinella palmiformis*. Lane 4, oligochaete *Tubifex tubifex*. Lane 5, shrimp, *Rimicaris exoculata*. Lane 6, tube worm, *Riftia pachyptila*. Lane 7, reamplification of hydra, *Hydra littoralis*. Lane 8, negative control PCR reaction with all components except template DNA.

DNA resuspended in 75 to 150 μ l (dependent upon the size of the pelleted DNA) of sterile distilled water. In our experience, DNA extracted by this protocol and stored at - 20°C remains intact for at least three years.

Polymerase chain reaction

We typically used 1 μ l of the DNA extract as template for a 50-µ1 PCR reaction, using 4 units of Taq polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI) per reaction. Each 50-µ1 reaction consisted of 5 p.1 of lox buffer (provided by the manufacturer), 5 μ of MgCl₂ (0.025) mol/liter, both solutions supplied with the polymerase), 2.5 µl of each of the two primer stock solutions (10 µmol/liter), 5 p.1 C, T, A, G nucleotide mix (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN, 2 µmol/ liter for each nucleotide), and 29 µl sterile distilled water. Reactions were amplified through 35 cycles at the following parameters: one minute at 95°C, one minute at 40°C, and one and a half minutes at 72°C, followed by a final extension step at 72°C for seven minutes. Amplifications were confirmed by standard submarine gel electrophoresis, using 2% w/v low-melting agarose/TBE gels (NuSieve, FMC BioProducts), stained with ethidium bromide.

Sequencing

Most templates could be sequenced from a single round of amplification. Occasionally, templates provided too little product from a single amplification. In such cases, the first amplification product was gel-isolated and used as template for a reamplification with a higher annealing temperature (50°C, all other parameters being held the same). In all instances, the PCR product for sequencing was obtained by running the entire reaction volume on a 2% low-melting agarose gel, using wide-tooth combs. The reaction product was excised from the gel and subsequently purified utilizing Wizard-PCR kits (Promega).

We used -y-³³P (NEN Dupont) end-labeled versions of the LCO1490 and HCO2198 primers for cycle-sequencing (Perkin-Elmer Cetus, Amplitaq

DSKAP 216 ..G ..G .C. ..А ...А . тA ... G.A ::ċ ...GA.T .C T.A A.. C. .. T.A .G. A .G. C. .A ..A GTA C. Ť. T C.C G.. . C.G G.T C.. ..T ... C.T ..T . g.. ,.c Pro Leu CCT TTA
 Ser Ser Gly Ile Ala His

 TCT TCA GGT ATC GCT CAT
 366

 ... GG. AA. C.AC
 ...

 ... A GGT AA. C.T ...C
 ...

 ... AG. AAC ...T ...C
 ...
 ..CAAAA ... 516 654

Figure 3. Four new cytochrome oxidase subunit I nucleotide sequences from marine invertebrates shown in reference to *Drosophila yakuba*. *D*, *D*. *yakuba*; S, *Solemya velum* (Mollusca: Bivalvia); *K*, *Katharina* sp. (Mollusca: Polyplacophora); *A*, *Amphisamytha galapagensis* (Annelida: Polychaeta: Ampharetidae), and P, *Paralvinella palmiformis* (Annelida: Polychaeta: Alvinellidae). Nucleotide #1 corresponds to position #1516 in the published *D. yakuba* sequence.

Cycle-sequencing Kit, protocol according to the manufacturer) of the double-stranded PCR products. Two electrophoretic analyses were required to sequence the complete fragment in each direction. First, we used a 6% denaturing (50% w/v urea) polyacrylamide gel (19:1 acrylamide to bis-acrylamide ratio) in a 40-cm-tall, wedge (0.4-1.2-mm) gel configuration to obtain approximately 250 to 300 by of readable sequence. Second, we used a 5% denaturing polyacrylamide gel in an 88-cm-tall, straight (0.4-mm) configuration, to obtain an additional 350 to 425 by of sequence.

Acknowledgments

Our thanks to A. Trivedi and C. Di Meo for assistance in the laboratory. Dr. S. Karl's advice was greatly appreciated, particularly during the early phase of this work. This is contribution No. 94-26 of the Institute of Marine and Coastal Sciences, Rutgers University, and New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station Publication No. 2-67175-8-94, supported by state funds and National Science Foundation grants OCE89-17311 and OCE93-02205 to R.C.V. and R.A.L.

References

- Anderson, S., Bankier, A.T., Barrell, B.G., de Bruijn, M.H., Coulson, A.R., Drouin, J., Eperon, I.C., Nierlich, D.P., Roe, B.A., Sanger, F., Schreier, P.H., Smith, A.J., Staden, R., and Young, I.G. (1981). Sequence and organization of the human mitochondrial genome. *Nature* 290:457-465.
- Anderson, S., de Bruijn, M.H., Coulson, A.R., Eperon, I.C., Sanger, F., and Young, I.G. (1982). Complete sequence of bovine mitochondrial DNA: conserved features of the mitochondrial genome. *J Mol Biol 156:683-717*.
- Arnason, U., Gullbreg, A., and Widergren, B. (1991). The complete nucleotide sequence of the mitochondrial DNA of the fin whale, *Balaenoptera physalus*. J Mol Evo] 33:556-568.
- Beard, C.B., Hamm, D.M., and Collins, F.H. (1993). The mitochondrial genome of the mosquito Anopheles gambiae: DNA sequence, genome organization, and comparisons with mitochondrial sequences of other insects. Insect Mol Biol 2:103-109.
- Bibb, M.J., van Etten, R.A., Wright, C.T., Walberg, M.W., and Clayton, D.A. (1981). Sequence and gene organization of mouse mitochondrial DNA. *Cell* 26:167-180.

- Brown, W.M. (1985). The mitochondrial genome of animals.
 In: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics, R.J. MacIntyre (ed.).
 New York: Plenum Press, pp. 95-130.
- Chang, Y.S., and Huang, F.L. (1991). GenBank Accession number x61010.
- Clary, D.O., and Wolstenholme, D.R. (1985). The mitochondria) DNA molecule of *Drosophila yakuba*: nucleotide sequence, gene organization and genetic code. *j Mol Evol* 22:252-271.
- Crozier, R.H., Crozier, Y.C., and Mackinlay, A.G. (1989). The CO-I and CO-II region of honeybee mitochondrial DNA: evidence for variation in insect mitochondrial evolutionary rates. *Mol Biol Evol* 6:399-411.
- Desjardins, P., and Morais, R. (1990). Sequence and the gene organization of the chicken mitochondrial genome. *J Mol Biol* 212:599-634.
- Doyle, J.J., and Dickson, E. (1987). Preservation of plant samples for DNA restriction endonuclease analysis. Taxon 36:715-722.
- Hoffmann, R.J., Boore, J.L., and Brown, W. (1992). A novel

mitochondrial genome organization for the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis. Genetics* 313:397-412.

- Jacobs, H.T., Elliott, D.J., Veerabhadracharya B.M., and Farquharson, A. (1988). Nucleotide sequence and gene organization of sea urchin mitochondrial DNA. JMol Biol 202:185-217.
- Jones, M.L. (1985). On the Vestimentifera, new phylum: six new species, and other taxa, from hydrothermal vents and elsewhere. *Bull Biol Soc Wash 6:117-158*.
- Okimoto, R., Macfarlane, J.L., and Wolstenholme, D.R. (1990). Evidence for frequent use of TTG as the translation initiation codon of mitochondrial protein genes in the nematodes, A scaris suum and Caenorhabditis elegans. Nucleic Acids Res 18:6113-6118.
- Roe, B.A., Ma, D.P., Wilson, R.K., and Wong, J.F.H. (1985). The complete nucleotide sequence of the Xenopus laevis mitochondrial genome. J Biol Chem 260:9759-9774.
- Tunnicliffe, V. (1991). The biology of hydrothermal vents: ecology and evolution. Oceanogr Mar Biol Annu Rev 29:319-407.